Pupil premium strategy statement (secondary)

1. Summary information	1. Summary information						
School	Samuel	Cody Specialist Sports College					
Academic Year	2017/18	Total PP budget	£56085	Date of most recent PP Review	Sept 2017		
Total number of pupils	148	Number of pupils eligible for PP	63	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Sept 2018		

2. C	urrent attainment		
		Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP school average)
Pupils	making 3 or more NC Levels across KS2-4 In English/Maths	58% Eng/50% Maths	31% Eng, 28% Maths
Pupils	gaining GCSE English/Maths	77% Eng//50% Maths	58% Eng/38% Maths
3. Ba	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)		
Α.	Attendance level below whole school %		
B.	Low prior attainment in literacy and numeracy		
C.	Restricted support from home		
4. De	esired outcomes (desired outcomes and how they will be measure	ed)	Success criteria
A.	Raise Pupil Premium attendance		Pupil Premium pupils to have 90%+ attendance
B.	Improve literacy skills		Raise reading and reading comprehension scores by 1 year each year
C.	Improve life numeracy skills		Pupils able to independently use mone and time skills

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2017-2018

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Pupil Premium pupils to make equal or better progress than non-pupil premium peers	5+ additional adult support in lessons	Support for literacy and numeracy skills in class Increase adult support for confidence with learning	Monitor progress levels of pupils in English and Maths on termly basis	AD/MJ	September 2018
Total budgeted cost				£35000	

ii. Targeted support

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Each individual pupils to make progress in all aspects of numeracy some literacy and social development	Targeted withdraw (1to1)	Evidence shows gaps in core learning and additional needs are lessened through targeted support	Provision will be targeted in assessment criteria which show no improvement or slower progress than other areas	SR	September 2018
Total budgeted cost				£10000	

iii. Other approaches

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Pupil Premium pupils to have full access to all SMSC activities in school Pupils have an enriched curriculum access	Full subsidy to Pupil Premium pupils for residential and day learning experiences	Develop social interaction with peers and adults Introduce new experiences Develop independence skills in unknown environments	All trip letters to Pupil Premium pupils state Pupil Premium allowance will cover the cost of trips	All	September 2018

i otai baaqotoa ooot	Total	budo	eted	cost
----------------------	-------	------	------	------

£6000

Previous Academ	nic Year	2016-2017		
i. Quality of tea	ching for all			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
No Negative gap between Pupil Premiums pupils and non-Pupil Premium pupils	 Additional support in core lessons. ELSA where appropriate 	 Equal or positive rates of progress at both KS3 & 4 compared to non-Pupil Premium pupils Emotional support on 1 to 1 basis for Pupil Premium pupils experiencing other social problems 	 Approach will continue due to success for individual pupils and all pupils Pupil Premium achieved higher progress rates than non-pupil premium ie positive gap All Pupil Premium pupils were able to sit examinations and show progress across the curriculum 	£30000
ii. Targeted sup	<u> </u>			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
No Negative gap between Pupil Premiums pupils and non-Pupil Premium pupils	Withdraw timetable for core lesson support Social/interacti on skills Spld support	 Equal or positive rates of progress both KS3 & 4 compared to non-Pupil Premium pupils All Pupil Premium pupils had a least one session of 1 to 1 teaching per week 	 Approach will continue due to success of interventions in terms of pupils learning outcomes 2017 withdraw included Science support and will continue next year 	£10000

iii. Other approa	ches			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
No Negative gap between Pupil Premiums pupils and non-Pupil Premium pupils	Subsidised curriculum and extra-curricular activities	All pupils had opportunities for extracurricular learning and took them up	 High uptake by pupils show this action to be beneficial to the life skills and emotional well-being of Pupil Premium pupils Behaviour in school improved and social interaction between pupils was excellent 	£6000

7. Additional detail

- Pupil premium attendance is improving year on year. As of September 2017 up to 92% but there is still a small gal with whole school attendance at 93.16%
- Pupil premium pupils continue to progress at equal or higher levels than their peers in both English and Maths
- Pupil premium attendance at residential activities, day trips and curriculum extension is high